arXiv:math/0612055v2 [math.DG] 18 Jul 2007

WITTEN GENUS AND STRING COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

QINGTAO CHEN AND FEI HAN

Abstract. We prove that the Witten genus of certain nonsingular string complete intersections in products of complex projective spaces vanishes. Our result generalizes a known result of Landweber and Stong (cf. [HBJ]).

1. Introduction

Let M be a $4k$ dimensional closed oriented smooth manifold. Let E be a complex vector bundle over M. For any complex number t, set $\Lambda_t(E) = \mathbb{C}|M + tE + t^2\Lambda^2(E) + \cdots$ and $S_t(E) = \mathbb{C}|M + tE + t^2S^2(E) + \cdots$, where for any integer $j \geq 1, \Lambda^j(E)$ (resp. $S^j(E)$) is the j-th exterior (resp. symmetric) power of E (cf. [At]). Set $\widetilde{E} = E - \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{rk}(E)}$.

Let $q = e^{\pi i\tau}$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, the upper half plane. Define after Witten ([W])

$$\Theta_q(E) = \bigotimes_{n\geq 1} S_{q^{2n}}(E). \tag{1.1}$$

The Witten genus ([W]) $\varphi_W(M)$ for M is defined as

$$\varphi_W(M) = \langle \widehat{A}(M)\mathrm{ch}(\Theta_q(\widetilde{TM\otimes\mathbb{C}})), [M]\rangle, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\widehat{A}(M)$ is the $\widehat{A}$-characteristic class of M and $[M]$ is the fundamental class of M. Let $\{\pm 2\pi\sqrt{-1}x_j, 1 \leq j \leq 2k\}$ be the formal Chern roots of $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Then the Witten genus can be written by using Chern roots as (cf. [L2][L3])

$$\varphi_W(M) = \langle \prod_{j=1}^{2k} x_j \frac{\theta'(0,\tau)}{\theta(x_j,\tau)}, [M]\rangle, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\theta(v,\tau)$ is the Jacobi theta function (see (2.1) below). The Witten genus was first introduced by E. Witten [W] in studying quantum filed theory and can be viewed as the loop space analogue of the $\widehat{A}$ genus.

According to the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, when M is spin, $\varphi_W(M) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ (cf. [HBJ]). Moreover, when the spin manifold M is string, i.e. $\frac{p_1(TM)}{2} = 0$ ($\frac{p_1(TM)}{2}$ is a dimension 4 characteristic class, twice of which is the first integral Pontryagin class $p_1(TM)$), or even weaker, when the first rational Pontryagin class $p_1(M) = 0$, $\varphi_W(M)$ is a modular form of weight $2k$ with integer Fourier expansion (cf. [HBJ]).

Let $V_{(d_{pq})}$ be a nonsingular $4k$ dimensional complete intersection in the product of complex projective spaces $\mathbb{C}P^{n_1} \times \mathbb{C}P^{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}P^{n_s}$, which is dual to $\prod_{p=1}^{t}(\sum_{q=1}^{s} d_{pq}x_q) \in H^{2t}(\mathbb{C}P^{n_1} \times \mathbb{C}P^{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}P^{n_s}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $x_q \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^{n_q}, \mathbb{Z}), 1 \leq q \leq s$, is the generator of $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n_q}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $d_{pq}, 1 \leq p \leq t, 1 \leq q \leq s$, are integers. Let $P_q : \mathbb{C}P^{n_1} \times \mathbb{C}P^{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}P^{n_s} \to \mathbb{C}P^{n_q}, 1 \leq q \leq s$, be the q-th projection. Then $V_{(d_{pq})}$ is the intersection of the zero loci of smooth global sections of line bundles $\otimes_{q=1}^{s} P_q^*(\mathcal{O}(d_{pq})), 1 \leq p \leq t$, where $\mathcal{O}(d_{pq}) = \mathcal{O}(1)^{d_{pq}}$ is the d_{pq}-th power of the canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{C}P^{n_q}$.

We should point out that we have abused the terminology, complete intersection, in algebraic geometry. Actually, since we don't require that the integers d_{pq}'s be nonnegative, $V_{(d_{pq})}$ might not be an algebraic variety. However, by transversality, $V_{(d_{pq})}$ can always be chosen to be smooth. Putting some relevant conditions (see Proposition 3.1 below) on the data $n_q, 1 \leq q \leq s$ and

---

Date: Jan 11, 2007.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C20, 57R20; Secondary 53C80, 11Z05.

$d_{pq}, 1 \leq p \leq t, 1 \leq q \leq s$, the complete intersection $V_{(d_{pq})}$ can be made string. This systematically provides us a lot of interesting examples of string manifolds. This paper is devoted to study the Witten genus of string manifolds generated in this way. See also [GM] and [GO] for a study of elliptic genera of complete intersections and the Landau-Ginzburg/CalabiYau correspondence.

Let

$$D = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} d_{11} & d_{12} & \cdots & d_{1s} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & \cdots & d_{2s} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ d_{t1} & d_{t2} & \cdots & d_{ts} \end{array} \right].$$

Let m_q be the number of nonzero elements in the q-th column of D.

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.1. *If $m_q + 2 \leq n_q, 1 \leq q \leq s$ and $V_{(d_{pq})}$ is string, then the Witten genus $\varphi_W(V_{(d_{pq})})$ vanishes.*

Our result generalizes a known result that the Witten genus of string nonsingular complete intersections of hypersurfaces with degrees $d_1, \cdots, d_t$ in a single complex projective space vanishes, which is due to Landweber and Stong (cf. [HBJ, Sect. 6.3]). In [HBJ], the proof of the result of Landweber and Stong is roughly described by applying the properties of the sigma function. Also in this special case, our result is broader than Landweber-Stong's result, since we don't require $d_1, \cdots, d_t$ be all positive.

Explicitly expanding $\Theta_q(\widetilde{TM \otimes \mathbb{C}})$, we get

$$\widehat{A}(M)\mathrm{ch}(\Theta_q(\widetilde{TM \otimes \mathbb{C}})) = \widehat{A}(M) + \widehat{A}(M)(\mathrm{ch}(TM \otimes \mathbb{C}) - 4k)q^2 + \cdots . \tag{1.4}$$

Therefore it's not hard to obtain the following corollary from Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.1. *If $m_q + 2 \leq n_q, 1 \leq q \leq s$ and $V_{(d_{pq})}$ is string, then*

$$\langle \widehat{A}(V_{(d_{pq})}), [V_{(d_{pq})}] \rangle = 0, \ \langle \widehat{A}(V_{(d_{pq})})\mathrm{ch}(TV_{(d_{pq})} \otimes \mathbb{C}), [V_{(d_{pq})}] \rangle = 0.$$

Let M be a 12 dimensional oriented closed smooth manifold. The signature of M can be expressed by the $\widehat{A}$-genus and the twisted $\widehat{A}$-genus as the following [AGW][L1],

$$L(M) = 8\widehat{A}(M)\mathrm{ch}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) - 32\widehat{A}(M). \tag{1.5}$$

Combining Corollary 1.1 and (1.5), we obtain that

Corollary 1.2. *If $m_q + 2 \leq n_q, 1 \leq q \leq s$, $V_{(d_{pq})}$ is 12-dimensional and string, then the signature of $V_{(d_{pq})}$ vanishes.*

Let M be a 16 dimensional oriented closed smooth manifold. One has the following formula [CH],

$$L(M)\mathrm{ch}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) = -2048\{\widehat{A}(M)\mathrm{ch}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M) - 48\widehat{A}(M)\}. \tag{1.6}$$

Combining Corollary 1.1 and (1.6), for twisted signature $\mathrm{Sig}(M,T) \triangleq \langle L(TM)\mathrm{ch}(T_{\mathbb{C}}M), [M] \rangle$, we obtain that

Corollary 1.3. *If $m_q + 2 \leq n_q, 1 \leq q \leq s$, $V_{(d_{pq})}$ is 16-dimensional and string, then the twisted signature $\mathrm{Sig}(V_{(d_{pq})}, T)$ vanishes.*

In the following, we will review some necessary preliminaries in Section 2 and prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3.

2. Some preliminaries

In this section, we review some tools and knowledge that we are going to apply in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

First, let's review the relevant concepts and results on residues in complex geometry. See chapter 5 of [GH] for details.

Let U be the ball $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^s : \|z\| < \varepsilon\}$ and $f_1, \cdots, f_s \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{U})$ functions holomorphic in a neighborhood of the closure $\overline{U}$ of U. We assume that the $f_i(z)$ have the origin as isolated common zero. Set

$$D_i = (f_i) = \text{divisor of} f_i,$$
$$D = D_1 + \cdots + D_s.$$

Let

$$\omega = \frac{g(z)dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_s}{f_1(z) \cdots f_s(z)}$$

be a meromorphic s-form with polar divisor D. The **residue** of ω at the origin is defined as the following

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\{0\}}\omega = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^s \int_\Gamma \omega,$$

where Γ is the real s-cycle defined by

$$\Gamma = \{z : |f_i(z)| = \varepsilon, 1 \le i \le s\}$$

and oriented by

$$d(\arg f_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\arg f_s) \geq 0.$$

Let M be a compact complex manifold of dimension s. Suppose that $D_1, \cdots, D_s$ are effective divisors, the intersection of which is a finite set of points. Let $D = D_1 + \cdots + D_s$. Let ω be a meromorphic s-form on M with polar divisor D. For each point $P \in D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_s$, we may restrict ω to a neighborhood of U_P of P and define the residue $\mathrm{Res}_P\omega$ as above. One has (cf. [GH], Chaper 5)

Lemma 2.1. *(***Residue Theorem)**

$$\Sigma_{P \in D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_s} \mathrm{Res}_P \omega = 0.$$

We also need some knowledge on the Jacobi theta functions. Although we are going to use only one of them, for the sake of completeness, we list the definitions and transformation laws of all of them.

The four Jacobi theta functions are defined as follows (cf. [Ch]):

$$\theta(v,\tau) = 2q^{1/4}\sin(\pi v)\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[(1-q^{2j})(1-e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{2j})(1-e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{2j})\right] , \tag{2.1}$$

$$\theta_1(v,\tau) = 2q^{1/4}\cos(\pi v)\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[(1-q^{2j})(1+e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{2j})(1+e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{2j})\right] , \tag{2.2}$$

$$\theta_2(v,\tau) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[(1-q^{2j})(1-e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{2j-1})(1-e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{2j-1})\right] , \tag{2.3}$$

$$\theta_3(v,\tau) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[(1-q^{2j})(1+e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{2j-1})(1+e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}v}q^{2j-1})\right] , \tag{2.4}$$

where $q = e^{\pi i\tau}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, the upper half plane and $v \in \mathbb{C}$. They are all holomorphic functions for $(v,\tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$. Let $\theta^{'}(0,\tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\theta(v,\tau)|_{v=0}$. They satisfy the following relations (cf. [Ch]):

$$\theta(v+1,\tau) = -\theta(v,\tau),\ \theta(v+\tau,\tau) = -\frac{1}{q}e^{-2\pi iv}\theta(v,\tau), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\theta_1(v+1,\tau) = -\theta_1(v,\tau),\ \theta_1(v+\tau,\tau) = \frac{1}{q}e^{-2\pi iv}\theta_1(v,\tau), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\theta_2(v+1,\tau) = \theta_2(v,\tau),\ \theta_2(v+\tau,\tau) = -\frac{1}{q}e^{-2\pi iv}\theta_2(v,\tau), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\theta_3(v+1,\tau)=\theta_3(v,\tau),\ \ \theta_3(v+\tau,\tau)=\frac{1}{q}e^{-2\pi iv}\theta_3(v,\tau). \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore it's not hard to deduce in the following how the theta functions vary along the lattice $\Gamma=\{m+n\tau|m,n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$. We have

$$\theta(v+m,\tau)=(-1)^m\theta(v,\tau) \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta(v+n\tau,\tau)\\
=&-\frac{1}{q}e^{-2\pi i(v+(n-1)\tau)}\theta(v+(n-1)\tau,\tau)\\
=&-\frac{1}{q}e^{-2\pi i(v+(n-1)\tau)}\left(-\frac{1}{q}\right)e^{-2\pi i(v+(n-2)\tau)}\theta(v+(n-2)\tau,\tau)\\
=&(-1)^n\frac{1}{q^n}e^{-2\pi i[(v+(n-1)\tau)+(v+(n-2)\tau)+\cdots+v]}\theta(v,\tau)\\
=&(-1)^n\frac{1}{q^n}e^{-2\pi inv-\pi in(n-1)\tau}\theta(v,\tau)\\
=&(-1)^ne^{-2\pi inv-\pi in^2\tau}\theta(v,\tau).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\theta_1(v+m,\tau)=(-1)^m\theta_1(v,\tau),\ \ \theta_1(v+n\tau,\tau)=e^{-2\pi inv-\pi in^2\tau}\theta_1(v,\tau); \tag{2.11}$$

$$\theta_2(v+m,\tau)=\theta_2(v,\tau),\ \ \theta_2(v+n\tau,\tau)=(-1)^ne^{-2\pi inv-\pi in^2\tau}\theta_2(v,\tau); \tag{2.12}$$

$$\theta_3(v+m,\tau)=\theta_3(v,\tau),\ \ \theta_3(v+n\tau,\tau)=e^{-2\pi inv-\pi in^2\tau}\theta_3(v,\tau). \tag{2.13}$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $i:V_{(d_{pq})}\to\mathbb{C}P^{n_1}\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_2}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_s}$ be the inclusion. It's not hard to see that

$$i^*T_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}P^{n_1}\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_2}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_s})\cong TV_{(d_{pq})}\oplus i^*\left(\oplus_{p=1}^t(\otimes_{q=1}^sP_q^*\mathcal{O}(d_{pq}))\right), \tag{3.1}$$

where we forget the complex structure of the line bundles $\otimes_{q=1}^sP_q^*\mathcal{O}(d_{pq}), 1\leq p\leq t$. Therefore for the total Stiefel-Whitney class, we have

$$i^*w(T_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}P^{n_1}\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_2}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_s}))=w(TV_{(d_{pq})})\prod_{p=1}^t i^*w(\otimes_{q=1}^sP_q^*\mathcal{O}(d_{pq})), \tag{3.2}$$

or more precisely

$$i^*\left(\prod_{q=1}^s(1+x_q)^{n_q+1}\right)\equiv w(TV_{(d_{pq})})\prod_{p=1}^t i^*\left(1+\sum_{q=1}^s d_{pq}x_q\right)\quad \mod 2. \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.3), we can easily see that

$$w_1(TV_{(d_{pq})})=0, \tag{3.4}$$

$$w_2(TV_{(d_{pq})})\equiv\sum_{q=1}^s\left(n_q+1-\sum_{p=1}^t d_{pq}\right)i^*x_q\quad \mod 2. \tag{3.5}$$

As for the total rational Pontryagin class, we have

$$i^*p(T_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}P^{n_1}\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_2}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_s}))=p(TV_{(d_{pq})})\prod_{p=1}^t i^*p(\otimes_{q=1}^sP_q^*\mathcal{O}(d_{pq})), \tag{3.6}$$

or

$$p(V_{(d_{pq})})=\prod_{q=1}^s(1+(i^*x_q)^2)^{n_q+1}\prod_{p=1}^t\left(1+\left(\sum_{q=1}^s d_{pq}i^*x_q\right)^2\right)^{-1}. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(V_{(d_{pq})}) &= \sum_{q=1}^{s}(n_q+1)(i^*x_q)^2 - \sum_{p=1}^{t}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{s} d_{pq} i^* x_q\right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{q=1}^{s}(n_q+1-\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pq}^2)(i^*x_q)^2 - \sum_{1\le u,v\le s, u\neq v}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pu}d_{pv} i^*x_u i^*x_v\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Let $i_!: H^*(V_{(d_{pq})},\mathbb{Q}) \to H^{*+2t}(\mathbb{C}P^{n_1}\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_2}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_s},\mathbb{Q})$ be the push forward. Thus if $p_1(V_{(d_{pq})})=0$, then

$$i_! p_1(V_{(d_{pq})}) = i_! i^*\left(\sum_{q=1}^{s}(n_q+1-\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pq}^2)x_q^2 - \sum_{1\le u,v\le s, u\neq v}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pu}d_{pv}x_u x_v\right)\right)=0,$$

i.e.

$$\left(\prod_{p=1}^{t}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{s} d_{pq}x_q\right)\right)\left(\sum_{q=1}^{s}(n_q+1-\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pq}^2)x_q^2 - \sum_{1\le u,v\le s, u\neq v}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pu}d_{pv}x_u x_v\right)\right)=0$$

in $H^{2t+4}(\mathbb{C}P^{n_1}\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_2}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_s},\mathbb{Q})$. If $m_q+2\le n_q, 1\le q\le s$, then the left hand side of the above equality should not only be a zero element in the cohomology ring but also be a zero polynomial. Note that the polynomial ring is an integral domain. Therefore at least one of it's factor should be zero. But $\prod_{p=1}^{t}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{s} d_{pq}x_q\right)$ is nonzero. This means

$$\sum_{q=1}^{s}(n_q+1-\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pq}^2)x_q^2 - \sum_{1\le u,v\le s, u\neq v}(\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pu}d_{pv}x_u x_v)=0$$

and consequently the following identities hold,

$$n_q+1-\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pq}^2 = 0,\ 1\le q\le s; \tag{3.9}$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pu}d_{pv}=0,\ 1\le u,v\le s, u\neq v. \tag{3.10}$$

Note that

$$n_q+1-\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pq}^2 \equiv n_q+1-\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pq} \mod 2, \qquad 1\le q\le s. \tag{3.11}$$

Hence (3.9) implies that $w_2(TV_{(d_{pq})})=0$.

In a summary, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *When $m_q+2\le n_q, 1\le q\le s$, $p_1(V_{(d_{pq})})=0$ implies $V_{(d_{pq})}$ is spin. Therefore when $m_q+2\le n_q, 1\le q\le s$, $V_{(d_{pq})}$ is string if and only if one of the following holds*
(1) $p_1(V_{(d_{pq})})=0$;
(2) the following identities hold,

$$n_q+1-\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pq}^2 = 0,\ 1\le q\le s, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{t} d_{pu}d_{pv}=0,\ 1\le u,v\le s, u\neq v; \tag{3.13}$$

(3) in the matrix

$$D=\left[\begin{array}{cccc} d_{11} & d_{12} & \cdots & d_{1s}\\ d_{21} & d_{22} & \cdots & d_{2s}\\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots\\ d_{t1} & d_{t2} & \cdots & d_{ts}\end{array}\right]$$

$\|\ \mathrm{col_q D}\ \|^2 = n_q+1, 1\le q\le s$ *and any two columns are orthogonal to each other; or equivalently,*

$$D^tD=\mathrm{diag}(n_1+1,\cdots,n_s+1).$$

With the above preparations, we are able to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let $[V_{(d_{pq})}]$ be the fundamental class of $V_{(d_{pq})}$ in $H_{4k}(V_{(d_{pq})},\mathbb{Z})$. Then according to (1.3) and the multiplicative property of the Witten genus, up to a constant scalar,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_W(V_{(d_{pq})})\\
=&\left(\left(\prod_{q=1}^{s}\left[\frac{i^*x_q}{\frac{\theta(i^*x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}}\right]^{n_q+1}\right)\left(\prod_{p=1}^{t}\left[\frac{\sum_{q=1}^s d_{pq}i^*x_q}{\frac{\theta(\sum_{q=1}^s d_{pq}i^*x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}}\right]^{-1}\right)\right)[V_{(d_{pq})}]\\
=&\left(\left(\prod_{q=1}^{s}\left[\frac{x_q}{\frac{\theta(x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}}\right]^{n_q+1}\right)\left(\prod_{p=1}^{t}\left[\frac{1}{\frac{\theta(\sum_{q=1}^s d_{pq}x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}}\right]^{-1}\right)\right)[\mathbb{C}P^{n_1}\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_2}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}P^{n_s}]\\
=&\text{coefficient of } x_1^{n_1}\cdots x_s^{n_s}\text{in }\left(\frac{\left(\prod_{q=1}^s {x_q}^{n_q+1}\right)\left(\prod_{p=1}^t\frac{\theta(\sum_{q=1}^s d_{pq}x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\right)}{\prod_{q=1}^s\left[\frac{\theta(x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\right]^{n_q+1}}\right)\\
=&\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{\left(\prod_{p=1}^t\frac{\theta(\sum_{q=1}^s d_{pq}x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\right)dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_s}{\prod_{q=1}^s\left[\frac{\theta(x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\right]^{n_q+1}}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Note that in (3.14), we have used Pioncare duality to deduce the second equality.

Set

$$g(x_1,\cdots,x_s)=\prod_{p=1}^t\frac{\theta(\sum_{q=1}^s d_{pq}x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)},\qquad f_q(x_q)=\left[\frac{\theta(x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\right]^{n_q+1},1\le q\le s,$$

and

$$\omega=\frac{g(x_1,\cdots,x_s)dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_s}{f_1(x_1)\cdots f_s(x_s)}.$$

Then up to a constant scalar,

$$\varphi_W(V_{(d_{pq})})=\mathrm{Res}_{(0,0,\cdots,0)}\omega.\tag{3.15}$$

By (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
&g(x_1+1,x_2,\cdots,x_s)\\
=&\prod_{p=1}^t\frac{\theta(\sum_{q=1}^s d_{pq}x_q+d_{p1},\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\\
=&(-1)^{d_{11}+\cdots+d_{t1}}\prod_{p=1}^t\frac{\theta(\sum_{q=1}^s d_{pq}x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}
\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

and

$$f_1(x_1+1)=\left[\frac{\theta(x_1+1,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\right]^{n_1+1}=(-1)^{n_1+1}\left[\frac{\theta(x_1,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\right]^{n_1+1}.$$

Thus $\frac{g(x_1+1,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1+1)\cdots f_s(x_s)}=(-1)^{(d_{11}+\cdots+d_{t1})-(n_1+1)}\frac{g(x_1,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1)\cdots f_s(x_s)}$. Note that by (3.12),

$$(d_{11}+\cdots+d_{t1})-(n_1+1)\equiv(d_{11}^2+\cdots+d_{t1}^2)-(n_1+1)=0 \bmod 2.$$

Thus one obtains that $\frac{g(x_1+1,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1+1)\cdots f_s(x_s)}=\frac{g(x_1,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1)\cdots f_s(x_s)}$. Similarly, we have

$$\frac{g(x_1,\cdots,x_q+1,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1)\cdots f_q(x_q+1)\cdots f_s(x_s)}=\frac{g(x_1,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1)\cdots f_s(x_s)}, 1\leq q\leq s. \tag{3.17}$$

On the other hand, by (2.9) and (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
&g(x_1+\tau,x_2,\cdots,x_s)\\
=&\prod_{p=1}^{t}\frac{\theta(\sum_{q=1}^{s}d_{pq}x_q+d_{p1}\tau,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\\
=&\prod_{p=1}^{t}(-1)^{d_{p1}}e^{-2\pi i d_{p1}(\sum_{q=1}^{s}d_{pq}x_q)-\pi i d_{p1}^2\tau}\frac{\theta(\sum_{q=1}^{s}d_{pq}x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\\
=&(-1)^{d_{11}+\cdots+d_{t1}}e^{-2\pi i\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}(\sum_{q=1}^{s}d_{pq}x_q)-\pi i\tau(\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}^2)}\frac{\theta(\sum_{q=1}^{s}d_{pq}x_q,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\\
=&(-1)^{d_{11}+\cdots+d_{t1}}e^{-2\pi i\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}(\sum_{q=1}^{s}d_{pq}x_q)-\pi i\tau(\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}^2)}g(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_s)
\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1(x_1+\tau)\\
=&\left[\frac{\theta(x_1+\tau,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\right]^{n_1+1}\\
=&\left[-e^{-2\pi i x_1-\pi i\tau}\frac{\theta(x_1,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\right]^{n_1+1}\\
=&(-1)^{n_1+1}e^{-2\pi i(n_1+1)x_1-\pi i\tau(n_1+1)}\left[\frac{\theta(x_1,\tau)}{\theta'(0,\tau)}\right]^{n_1+1}\\
=&(-1)^{n_1+1}e^{-2\pi i(n_1+1)x_1-\pi i\tau(n_1+1)}f_1(x_1).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{g(x_1+\tau,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1+\tau)\cdots f_s(x_s)}\\
=&(-1)^{d_{11}+\cdots+d_{t1}-n_1-1}e^{-2\pi i\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}(\sum_{q=1}^{s}d_{pq}x_q)-\pi i\tau(\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}^2)+2\pi i(n_1+1)x_1+\pi i\tau(n_1+1)}\\
&\cdot\frac{g(x_1,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1)\cdots f_s(x_s)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

However

$$d_{11}+\cdots+d_{t1}-n_1-1\equiv d_{11}^2+\cdots+d_{t1}^2-n_1-1 \bmod 2 \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2\pi i\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{s}d_{pq}x_q\right)-\pi i\tau(\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}^2)+2\pi i(n_1+1)x_1+\pi i\tau(n_1+1)\\
=&\pi i\tau\left[(n_1+1)-\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}^2\right]+2\pi i\left[(n_1+1)-\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}^2\right]x_1-2\pi i\sum_{q=2}^{s}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}d_{pq}x_q\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

Therefore by (3.12) and (3.13),

$$d_{11}+\cdots+d_{t1}-n_1-1\equiv 0 \bmod 2,$$

and

$$-2\pi i\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{s}d_{pq}x_q\right)-\pi i\tau(\sum_{p=1}^{t}d_{p1}^2)+2\pi i(n_1+1)x_1+\pi i\tau(n_1+1)=0.$$

Consequently, by (3.20), we obtain that

$$\frac{g(x_1+\tau,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1+\tau)\cdots f_s(x_s)}=\frac{g(x_1,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1)\cdots f_s(x_s)}. \tag{3.23}$$

Similarly, one also obtains that

$$\frac{g(x_1,\cdots,x_q+\tau,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1)\cdots f_q(x_q+\tau)\cdots f_s(x_s)}=\frac{g(x_1,\cdots,x_s)}{f_1(x_1)\cdots f_s(x_s)},\ 1\le q\le s. \tag{3.24}$$

Therefore from (3.17) and (3.24), we see that ω can be viewed as a meromorphic s-form defined on the product of s-tori, $(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)^s$, which is a compact complex manifold.

$\theta(v,\tau)$ has the lattice points $m+n\tau, m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ as it's simple zero points [Ch]. We therefore see that ω has pole divisors $\{0\}\times(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)^{s-1}, (\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)\times\{0\}\times(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)^{s-2},\cdots,(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)^{s-1}\times\{0\}$. So $(0,0,\cdots,0)$ is the unique intersection point of these polar divisors.

Therefore by the residue theorem on compact complex manifolds, we directly deduces that $\mathrm{Res}_{(0,0,\cdots,0)}\omega=0$. By (3.15), we obtain that $\varphi_W(V_{(d_{pq})})=\mathrm{Res}_{(0,0,\cdots,0)}\omega=0$. Q.E.D

4. Acknowledgement

F. Han is grateful to Professor Peter Teichner for a lot of discussions and helps. He also thanks Professor Kefeng Liu for inspiring suggestions. Q. Chen is grateful to Professor Nicolai Reshetikhin for his interest and support. Thanks also go to Professor Friedrich Hirzebruch, Professor Stephan Stolz and Professor Weiping Zhang for their interests and many discussions with us. We would like to thank Professor Michael Joachim and Professor Serge Ochanine for inspiring communications with us. The paper was finished when the second author was visiting the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik at Bonn.

References

[AGW] L. Alvarez-Gaumé and E. Witten, Gravitational anomalies. *Nucl. Phys.* B234 (1983), 269-330.

[At] M. F. Atiyah, *K − theory*. Benjamin, New York, 1967.

[Ch] K. Chandrasekharan, *Elliptic Functions.* Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[CH] Q. Chen and F. Han, Modular invariance and twisted anomaly cancellations for characteristic numbers, *Arxiv. math.DG/0605415.*

[GM] V. Gorbounov and F. Malikov, Vertex algebras and the Landau-Ginzburg/CalabiYau correspondence, *Moscow Math. J.*, 4 (2004), no.3, 729-779.

[GO] V. Gorbounov and Serge Ochanine, Mirror symmetry formulae for the elliptic genus of complete intersections, *Arxiv. math.AT/0603196, To appear on Topology*

[GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principle of Algebraic Geometry.* Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1994.

[HBJ] F. Hirzebruch, T. Berger and R. Jung, *Manifolds and Modular Forms.* Aspects of Mathematics, vol. E20, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1992.

[L1] K. Liu, Modular invariance and characteristic numbers. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 174 (1995), 29-42.

[L2] K. Liu, On ellitic genera and theta-functions, *Topology* 35 (1996), 617-640.

[L3] K. Liu, On Modular Invariance and Rigidity Theorems, *J. Diff. Geom.* 41 (1995), 343-396.

[O] S. Ochanine, Signature modulo 16, invariants de Kervaire géneralisés et nombre caractéristiques dans la K-théorie reelle. *Mémoire Soc. Math. France*, Tom. 109 (1987), 1-141.

[S] S. Stolz, A conjecture concerning positive Ricci curvature and the Witten genus, *Math. Ann.* 304 (1996), no. 4, 785-800.

[W] E. Witten, The index of the Dirac operator in loop space, in P.S. Landweber, ed., *Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms in Algebraic Topology* (Proceedings, Princeton 1986), *Lecture Notes in Math.,* 1326, pp. 161-181, Springer, 1988.

[Z1] W. Zhang, Elliptic genera and Rokhlin congruences, *preprint.*

[Z2] W. Zhang, *Lectures on Chern-Weil Theory and Witten Deformations.* Nankai Tracts in Mathematics Vol. 4, World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.

Q. Chen, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720-3840
E-mail address: `chenqtao@math.berkeley.edu`

F. Han, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720-3840
E-mail address: `feihan@math.berkeley.edu`